

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

* * * * *

Taken before SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, CCR,
Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner
for Alabama at Large, at Fort McClellan,
Alabama, on the 21st day of April 2015,
commencing at approximately 5:00 p.m.

R E P O R T E R ' S I N D E X

CAPTION SHEET.	1
REPORTER'S INDEX	2
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD	3-62
CERTIFICATE.	63-64

MR. GENE HOWARD:

Housekeeping. I thank Ms. Cunningham for sending us a reminder today. I had -- I mean, this is important. I had put -- I had the announcement, and I had set it aside. I had not forgotten it, but today I went back and looked at my schedule, thanks to you. So let me suggest that you do that from now on.

Some of us are getting old. Kimberly down there, he'll be eighty-seven --

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Old, old.

MR. GENE HOWARD: -- next birthday. He needs help.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Right. I don't disagree with that. I'll take up a collection at any time.

MR. GENE HOWARD: Well, thank you.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: You're welcome.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: We'll call roll.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Okay. I am here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: I forgot my pen.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Oh, okay, sure. I get to call roll.

Phillip and I are here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: No, I'll call roll, but if you'll just mark them down.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Okay. I'm with you.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT:
Mr. Bolton?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: I'm here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: I'm here. Mr. Buford? Dr. Cox?

Mr. Elser?

MR. JEROME ELSER: Here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT:

Mr. Foster? Dr. Harrington?

Mr. Howard?

MR. GENE HOWARD: Here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT:

Dr. Kimberly?

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT:

Mr. Kimbrough? Mr. John Hall?

MR. JOHN HALL: Here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Long
time no see.

Mr. John Pearce? Mr. Steffy?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: I mean, we
knew that they weren't going to
be here, sorry.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT:

Mr. Thompson?

My favorite boss in the whole
world, Mr. Turner?

MR. ED TURNER: Here. That

man's getting a raise.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: I like Mr. Turner, too.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: We've got Ms. Pinson?

MS. KAREN PINSON: Here.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: She's here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Mr. Hardy?

MR. GERALD HARDY: Here.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Yeah, Gerald's here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Ms. Little?

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: And then, let's see, we have guests.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Oh, Lisa Holstein, Osage support staff.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Brenda Cunningham.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Has everybody had a chance to look

at the minutes, October 2014? I think we all got an advance copy.

MR. GENE HOWARD: By the way, this new meeting schedule is a lot better.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Pardon?

MR. GENE HOWARD: This new meeting schedule is a lot better.

Come in, sir.

MR. JAMES BUFORD: Howdy, howdy.

MR. GENE HOWARD: Mr. Buford is here.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Let the record show we've got Mr. Buford.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Mr. Buford is here, all right.

Do we need a motion to approve the minutes?

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Yeah, we

need a motion to approve the minutes.

MR. GENE HOWARD: Motion we approve the minutes.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Second.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Any Discussion? All in favor of approval of the minutes, aye. Any opposed?

(No opposition stated.)

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Old business, what old business do we have, Mr. Bolton?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: I don't believe we have any.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: No.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Not that I'm aware of.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Okay. I guess we'll move on to program, and I guess that's Mr. Hardy.

MR. GERALD HARDY: Huh?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Well, we

don't really have a program. I guess the agency reports would get Gerald.

MR. GERALD HARDY: Give me a minute, and I'll do a two step.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Do we want to --

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Yeah, we don't have a program. We do need to solicit suggestions as always.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Do you want to wait till the end or do you want to do that now? Any suggestions on program for next time? Not everybody at once.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: And if you do have, you know, let us, any which way, know, e-mail Brenda, something, and we'll do what we can.

MR. ED TURNER: We could get an update from MDA what's going

on there. That would be kind of interesting.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: From MDA?

MR. ED TURNER: Yeah. If we could arrange that. Anybody good with MDA down there?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Oh, yeah, we're good with them.

MR. ED TURNER: What do you think?

MR. GERALD HARDY: That's me.

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: That's Gerald.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Gerald knows it's going to default to him.

MR. ED TURNER: Oh, sorry.

MR. GENE HOWARD: That's going to annoy somebody.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: No.
No.

MR. ED TURNER: I don't know, maybe get Mr. Robin Scott as a

guest appearance?

MR. GERALD HARDY: No.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: No. We go to too many meetings together.

MR. ED TURNER: I'm just throwing out --

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: I'm not opposed to asking him --

MR. ED TURNER: Okay.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: -- by any stretch of the imagination, but we can see.

MR. GERALD HARDY: But I'll be glad to talk next time.

MR. ED TURNER: I didn't mean to put you on the spot.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: You'll be prepared, won't you?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: He's just facing the inevitable.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Does anybody know anything about the

expressway, what year that's going to be?

MR. ED TURNER: That's this year.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Yes. And I'm an astronaut and I've never been higher than the cornstalks.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: The bypass?

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Yes, the bypass. Any latest news --

MR. JEROME ELSER: I haven't seen any activity out there in the last two weeks.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: It's been raining. And then the sun came out and it got too hot.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: If you want, I can ask Shannon if he can send me an update, like he does every once in awhile when I ask him.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: I think that would be appropriate, because a lot of people --

MR. ED TURNER: That would be good.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: I live out here, and a lot of people, when is the bypass going to open, when's the -- and I've been telling them '11, '12, '13, '14, '15.

MR. ED TURNER: Eventually, you'll be right.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: No, I'm not going to live that long. My time's numbered.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Well, if it isn't open in October, I'll give you an update for --

MR. ED TURNER: It will be open by then.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Yeah,

by that October.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: For some reason, I think the last update he said September of 2015, but --

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Well, they've actually started some paving. I noticed that the other day.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, they have started paving.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Yeah.

MR. GERALD HARDY: I did observe, about 10:00 o'clock this morning, somebody standing on Highway 21 in the vicinity, with one of those satellite --

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: He was just trying to --

MR. GERALD HARDY: -- surveying instrument. But he looked like he was asleep.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: He was

just trying get what his gas bill was going to be.

I shouldn't be that way, but, you know, I've listened to it for fourteen years. They started it before they ever closed the base, you know.

MR. GENE HOWARD: But, you know, they said it wasn't going to happen in our lifetime, so at least we're getting close to it.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Is there any other suggestions for a program? Then we'll move on.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: The RI --

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Yeah.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: -- footprint.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Actually, that might be a good -- you know, we have -- we're a little off track, but it's okay.

We're finishing up a remedial

investigation, okay, for the final munitions work in what's now the Fish & Wildlife Refuge. That's our clean-up patch.

MDA has already -- and Matrix have already finished their munitions work.

And so we should have -- we'll have the results of that by the next meeting. Better have that bad boy under contract, or I may be retiring earlier than intended.

But anyway, yeah, we could certainly do that, because that would show, you know, the results of the remedial investigation, it would show the clean-up footprint. I mean, we have that all. So we can take that upon ourselves to work something like that up for the next time.

MR. GERALD HARDY: If I may make a suggestion, also. Because we had a meeting recently with ALDOT and the federal highway and all about the industrial road extension and where it's going to tie in.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Uh-huh.

MR. GERALD HARDY: At some point, before they stick a shovel in the ground, may want somebody to come talk about that work.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Who would be a good point of contact?

MR. GERALD HARDY: One of their -- CDG Engineers is doing some of the design work. And a Mathew Burgess with them, I've been in touch.

I mean, that's something that's going to hopefully happen, but --

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Okay.

MR. GERALD HARDY: Hopefully, on the same timeframe as the bypass, but --

MR. ED TURNER: That's this year.

MR. JOHN HALL: Soon, real soon.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Moving along to new business. Under new business, the agency reports. We ready for that? And is it Ms. Little?

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Yes.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: From ADEM.

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: All right. As usual, in your packet is all the documents that we received over the last six months and reviewed over the last six months.

And, let's see, just to point

out, I guess, we've -- we should have a concurrence letter in the next few days for the remedial action work plan for the four lead removal sites for the Army side, and that includes Bains Gap Road ranges, ranges near T-24 Alpha, Choccolocco corridor and 81mm mortar range.

And we concurred on the final report of corrective measures for the base service station, range thirty firing line, and the tank range, grenade range impact area on the MDA side, so --

MR. GERALD HARDY: Thank you.

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: I guess those are the major ones.

Unless anybody has any questions, that's pretty much it.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Go on.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: On the lead removal work plan, we'll be getting a concurrence on that pretty soon?

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Yes.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Okay.

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Yeah. I gave it informally. I was waiting --

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: You did give --

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: I was waiting --

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: -- it --

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: -- for the --

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: -- informally?

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: I was waiting for the formal comment responses --

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Uh-huh.

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: -- which I

got the other day.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Okay.

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Yeah.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Good.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Do we have any more questions for Ms. Little?

I guess we move on to National Guard. Is that you.

Ms. Pinson, National Guard?

MS. KAREN PINSON: Yeah.

We pretty much have -- are in the same position with our Range J and K groundwater monitoring. We did some more monitoring in July and August of 2014. And we've got a couple of reports, annual reports, that we are responding to some ADEM comments and working on those reports a little bit.

So, let's see, we are still working on our five-year review.

We're in the midst of working up a new remediation contract.

And so, several of these documents will be held up for a little while, pending award of that new contract.

And so, the toxic gas area, we're about in the same place on it. We're still in the RI, remedial investigation phase of that.

That's another report that we'll start working on again, once we get our new clean-up contract in place.

One -- a couple of sites I've added to this sheet since last time are the military munitions response sites. These are sites that -- where some munitions were found in areas that were not range areas or impact areas.

And one of the sites is where

the National Guard readiness center is now -- has been built. And the other site was just a utility ditch within the National Guard enclave area where an unexploded ordnance round was found some years ago.

And so we have to investigate these areas to see -- pretty much, it's just nature and extent. We've got to see if there are any more out there of the ordnance items or do we believe that we captured everything that's there. And then, you know, decide what we're going to do about it.

So, for one of the sites -- we're not finished with the remedial investigations yet on either one of these sites, but we have submitted the report to ADEM and have the comments back.

And we will -- this is another project that will move into the next phase of our clean-up contract.

But I just wanted -- these sites are now far enough along that we can -- you know, we have a handle on what's going on there. So I just wanted to mention those this time.

Any questions?

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Who is doing the work for the MEC?

MS. KAREN PINSON: I'm sorry?

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Who's doing the work? Who's the contractor?

MS. KAREN PINSON: It will be under the new -- our new environmental contract, our new clean-up contract.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: You don't have one yet, so you don't know

who's getting it?

MS. KAREN PINSON: Right.
Yeah.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Okay.

MS. KAREN PINSON: And under
the --

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: So that's
going to come out of --

MS. KAREN PINSON: The
munitions were cleaned up for
the -- what, the six hundred
area motor pool?

We had a site inspection done
there several years ago, back in
2006 or '07. And we had an
interim removal of the munitions
at that time, so -- and that was
done under -- Malcolm Pirnie was
doing the site inspection for
all of the Army at that time.
So, that was done under them.
And they did the SI for both
sites.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: And they found stuff.

MS. KAREN PINSON: Well, they didn't find anything at the -- what we call the Hannah Avenue, Patriot Road site. That's the utility ditch where they were putting in some utility communication lines, along Patriot Road there.

And they found -- just dug up a Stokes mortar round that was live. And that was the only one.

The digital geophysical mapping did not show any additional or -- and the -- there was nothing else there that we could find.

The six hundred area motor pool, which is now the readiness center, they found -- the digital geophysical mapping

found -- you know, they had a lot of targets. And we had a hundred and one items that were -- four were UXO and ninety-seven were munitions debris.

And so those have all been removed. And when the readiness center was constructed, of course, they used, you know -- they did not find any munitions during the construction of that readiness center.

So that now sits over most of the site. There will most definitely be a land-use control there for digging type -- some kind of digging restriction.

But we're just not finished. You know, we haven't gone through the -- finished the RI yet and gone into the proposed

plan and the record of decision,
all that stuff.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Okay.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Okay.
Any more questions for
Ms. Pinson?

Thank you, Ms. Pinson.

Okay.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: That's us,
huh?

MR. GERALD HARDY: Well, you
got me.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: MDA.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: That's
right.

MR. GERALD HARDY: Unless you
want to skip me.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: I don't
know how I could forget you.
I'm sorry.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Now
Mr. Hardy.

MR. GERALD HARDY: I was just

looking -- if you -- following the National Guard presentation in your package is some summary of activities we've done at MDA. A few of them I'll highlight for you.

At landfill three, in the fill area northwest of Reilly, we're going to have to apply for an underground injection control permit to do bioremediation of that contaminated plume. And that's in the works.

The reason I mentioned about the highway industrial road extension there to 21 is it's actually going to go right between those two disposal areas, landfill three and the fill area northwest of Reilly.

And two of our existing monitoring wells out there will be right in the middle of the

road construction, so they'll have to be relocated. But it also will impact us because we've got to put in more wells for injection of the bugs into the subsurface and then a few more monitoring wells. So we'll have to make sure that we know where they're building the road so that we don't have some wayward guys with a bulldozer running over our monitoring wells.

But that should be coming here in the next year. Maybe by the next time we meet.

Looking down the list, T-6, you see that site. We did apply and received an underground injection permit back in October. Installed additional wells and have been doing bioremediation on that site.

The key -- or the importance of that is we had been doing an air sparge remediation where we were injecting air into the subsurface, trying to evaporate the solvents and then capture that when it came out.

Well, that worked only to a certain level, and we couldn't get much beyond that. So we went to the bioremediation. And that's one document that Brandi had on her list as under review, is our changes to that.

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Yes.

MR. GERALD HARDY: Looking on down, landfill four, industrial landfill on page two, I'll just highlight that that -- the industrial landfill is continuing to take the demolition waste here, on the former Fort McClellan, where the

MDA is cleaning up a lot of the buildings that people now miss because they've been --

And I think the only thing to highlight about that -- I mean, another thing to highlight is provided they can come up with adequate funding, they hope to try to have that finished within two years, the demolition of buildings.

So, once all the buildings are demolished that they have on their list, then they will move to close the landfill, at that point.

Bains Gap Road ranges there on page two, we did receive concurrence. That was the first phase of our major lead contaminated soil cleanup here on the MDA's portion. We finally received concurrence

that we had completed that first phase.

We've moved ahead with phase two, which is -- I know it won't mean a lot to y'all, but it's Range twenty-three area. A contractor has been selected, and we're beginning that phase.

And then, just last Monday, we released a request for a proposal for phase three. And that consists of range eighteen. And if any of y'all attended the big bang, that was the area where we did the big bang celebration. That's range eighteen.

Brandi had reported that we had received ADEM concurrence on the final report of corrective measures on three sites: Base service station, range thirty firing line, and the tank

grenade impact area.

The key to that is these are the first ones that we've done that's outlined in the ESCA and also our clean-up agreement that once we get -- we think no further -- really no further action is required on the site, that we can -- two options are available: One is to wait until the entire post is clean and apply for CERCLA covenants or it could be broken down by parcels. And we're -- the MDA elected to do it by parcels. And so these are the first three.

And now requests will have to go to the Army for their concurrence on the CERCLA covenant for those sites.

I think Brandi will agree, we were sort of breaking new ground on how to deal with those. So

I'm sure we'll probably get a similar response from the Army when we submit and request up.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Well, I was just sort of thinking that. It certainly would not be -- it wouldn't be new experience, obviously, for me Lisa, Bill or whatever, but, yeah.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Yeah, the CERCLA covenant stuff is going to be new.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: It might be. That's right. The covenants I've been involved in Tennessee.

But it may well be. There's been enough staff turnover and whatnot up there that, yeah, it could be a new experience, because -- to include legal staff turnover. So it will be interesting.

Although I'd really like -- that will be Lester Strickland (phonetic). He works for us.

We've got a new attorney. Our old attorney left. They've had a lot of turnover for the environmental law division -- the way they break up the work.

And we've got a new one. But he's very, very good. He doesn't move at lawyer speed. I guess they'll lobotomize him at some point up there and then he'll start that. But he moves a lot faster than that normally.

MR. GERALD HARDY: Looking at some of our munition response areas, the old UXO areas, starting at the northern part of the installation, northern Alpha, we've received concurrence on the after-action

report for that site. All the work's done. It's with no restrictions from a MEC standpoint. It's clearance to depth.

MRS-12 and 13 -- that moves a little further south -- we've received concurrence on that, those two sites.

The area that straddles Bains Gap Road, MRS-1 and southern Alpha, we've completed the work, submitted the after-action report. And I think Brandi had it on her list that it was under review, waiting on that.

So we're beginning to get the after-action reports in and beginning to get concurrence on those to try to wrap up the UXO work out here.

And I think the last time I

was here I did the program on the range 9B or -- I mean, Range sixteen, MRS nine, track B.

Since then we have completed the processing of all the hard targets that we drove -- pulled out of there. For -- I think I had a picture last time, the -- there was one rare tank that was in there, the M3 Stewart light, that they only made like twenty-eight thousand of them, I think, during their existence. And so, that one was taken by the Army museum to be restored.

And I think there was another M-1 tank that -- turret that they wanted or so -- but the rest of it ended up being chopped up and sent off for scrap.

That Range sixteen

that -- where we dug everything to one foot and then processed the -- to recover the munitions out of it, that has been backfilled, compacted.

And with these range, we have to go out there and do regular maintenance to fill in where it's eroding away until we can get that firmly packed. And that's probably going to go on at least -- we envisioned a year, but it may go on longer than that before we can get it finally compacted.

And then one other thing that's going to come up and impact us on some other sites -- and I know Brandi included it in her report -- is, we got to do some soil remediation, lead cleanup in some areas where the MEC or the

unexploded ordnance was only cleared to one foot below the surface, because it was -- in the master plan for the site was designated as park system.

The problem with that is that when we normally look at doing lead cleanup soil remediation, we dig down a foot. So there is the possibility that we could become in contact with unexploded ordnance, so we submitted a proposal. And ADEM has concurred, that in those areas that we identify, we'll only remove soil six to nine inches or try at six inches. And then we'll need to backfill with clean material to restore that one-foot separation. So that's something that we'll be doing here in phase two.

I mentioned earlier, phase two

of the Baby Bains Gap Road ranges, there is a portion of that Range twenty-three that was only cleared to one foot for the MEC standpoint. So we're going to have to deal with that, some of these future ones, where the MEC and lead -- metals contamination overlap each other.

And that's enough for right now.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Are there any questions for Mr. Hardy? If not, we'll move on.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Okay. Well, we can continue on.

As we've mentioned earlier, we're pretty much at the end stages of the fieldwork of a remedial investigation. Again, remedial investigation is one of

the methods you use for characterizing nature and extent of munitions contamination.

The fact is, if you'll look at the two maps kind of over Brenda's head, that'll probably give you one of the better ideas. That's literally how you do it. You line it off in transects like that, and you throw out their grids and you investigate those things in their entirety or for the most part.

So, where -- I believe what -- Lisa, you said that they were going to finish fieldwork this week?

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Friday.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Okay. So it looks like we're going to finish the fieldwork this week.

They've already started doing

some of the analysis and so on as we -- as the data has come in for a couple of reasons, because the immediate follow on to this remedial investigation is a large munitions contract. It'll probably be the final munitions contract for us here. And that is -- we need the remedial investigation data, obviously, the nature and extent to plug that in.

And so we've been working both those processes in parallel. And so our anticipation is that we'll probably be requesting proposals maybe late May or June. And then we'll go through the whole contracting process that we have to go through. And we're hoping to award, it'll be late this fiscal year.

It causes a lot of anxiety in

government channels when you do that, but we did it last year and pulled it off with our hazardous waste contract, and we figure we'll pull the rabbit out of the hat, as well. And we frequently get to talk to them about it and reassure them. And we'll be doing -- Lisa and I'll be doing that again Thursday, I guess, at 1:00, something like that.

So, you know, they'll be asking us, can you pull that in a little or whatever. So we'll have -- and we'll use that probably for the presentation in October, that kind of data. That would be good timing there, because if we go ahead and hit -- we'll have the cleanup areas and the levels to which they need to be cleaned up and so on.

Some years ago we sat down with Fish & Wildlife Service. And they sat down and said, okay, these are our forest management or our preserve management things that we need to do. And we got an understanding of what it is. And so we developed already what level of clearance you have to have for them to do those activities.

So, in a sense, we know what Fish & Wildlife wants to do with their whole area. And as soon as we have our nature and extent kind of defined, we're going to just basically overlay their use map and we'll, in pretty quick order, with modern GIS systems, have a good idea -- have a pretty good handle on what -- how much has to be

cleaned up to what extent.

And so we'll -- like I say, we're in kind of the final phases of the fieldwork on that. And we've already been doing the analysis, our -- we have weekly meetings with ADEM and all the other stakeholders and so on all of our projects. And so that one's been kind of evolving.

It's kind of interesting to see where, yeah, here's last week's figures and this is kind of what it's looking like as the data gaps come in and get filled in.

So that's where we are with that. And like I say, we also are -- we're looking at trying to award this summer a munitions contract, so -- and, you know, once that happens, at the end of that contract,

really, almost all of the remedial work at Fort McClellan will have been done, period. I mean, it will really be done, functionally.

There will probably be a year or two of mop-up. You know, winning the battle is one thing, but mop-up's always messy.

We're also -- as you can see, they're starting to mobilize, as we speak, over here across the ditch. The hazardous waste contract that we -- that we managed to award, like on September 27, three days before the end of the fiscal year last year, they're doing the metals clean-up, very similar to what MDA is starting, as well. We've already done some metals cleanup.

If you look at the map kind of

over Lisa's head there, the dark, hatched areas, with one exception, are essentially the metals cleanup areas. So we do have some out in the state forest as well, in what we call the Choccolocco Corridor. And so we have -- state forestry commission, of course, is involved with that as well.

Along with Bains Gap Road would be a fairly significant project. There is a lot of kind of interesting things. They have to get into stream diversions and some other stuff's involved with that, so it's a pretty significant undertaking there.

But then, as Brandi -- you've heard Brandi and Lisa discussing it. The work plan is -- you know, we're good to go on it,

functionally. You know, we're waiting on --

One of the things that we spent a lot of time with ADEM over the last couple of years -- and I think it's worked very, very well -- and I think the community needs to be well aware of how ADEM works well with us on these things is that we do a lot of things on the phone now and get things worked out with like our weekly calls or whatever.

And so a lot of times, rather than wait to get the formal response back, you know, approval or something, a lot of times it's okay, you know, we're good with this. You're going to send us this. And as long as it matches, go ahead and do it, you know, recognizing, you know, you

might be theoretically at risk for a week or two while the formal process takes place.

So that's been, I think, very, very helpful. And we've continued that with all of our kind of projects and subprojects, and it works very well. So that's been ongoing and -- like I say.

We still -- the Army still owns approximately twelve acres. Basically, we own a chunk of the northbound lanes of Highway 21.

And once MDA gets what we refer to as remedy in place for landfill three essentially -- the plume from landfill three is -- kind of goes under the road some and so on.

So, once they get the remedy in place and get themselves to where it's either response

complete or monitor natural attenuation, or whatever the polishing steps after, after their bio stuff does --

MR. GENE HOWARD: Who does that go? Who does that 1182 go to?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: ALDOT.

MR. GENE HOWARD: State of Alabama?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Right.

MR. GENE HOWARD: Highway department?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Yeah.
Uh-huh. Yeah.

Apparently, many, many years ago, rather than just do a fee-simple deed, they would grant leases and so on. And that's what -- so that was one of the -- when Fort McClellan closed, it was very interesting, is everybody

really started getting into the weeds and the details on stuff, we realized, oh my God, we owned all of -- all the northbound lanes of Highway 21, going all the way up, that the Army still owned it, that ALDOT had leased it over the years.

So it worked out pretty well with us, because there would have been a whole process to go when we wanted to sample out in the median. But once ALDOT said, oh, just -- given that you own it, go ahead. Be real careful.

But that's the last -- like I say, we've got this last little strip up there that's, I think, 11.8 something acres. So it's twelve acres. That, and then there is another little corner over by range twenty-nine that's

going to be a water tank site. Or it was originally programmed to be that.

And once they're complete with range twenty-nine work, we'll do the same thing with that. We'll probably do like we did the other tank sites, transfer it to MDA.

If the water board still wants it, they'll do a follow-on transfer. And if not, MDA will have it with the rest of their contiguous property.

So those are kind of where we're at. It looks simplified, but we're actually getting, you know, to the end years, if you will, here.

I mean, these contracts are going to be large. The level of effort is huge. And so it still takes quite a few years.

I mean, we're looking to see something like a base period and maybe four option years on top of the contract we're looking at. And it may even require modification and/or, you know, follow-on there, because the level of our effort's going to be pretty high.

MR. GENE HOWARD: Is that something you want the public to know?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: What, it'll be base plus five --

MR. GENE HOWARD: No.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: -- four or five? What?

MR. GENE HOWARD: The completion of the cleanup.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: At some point. You know, I don't want to set expectations.

One of the things that we run

into a lot of times is people don't fully understand it, and then they get themselves all upset.

I know that there's a lot of people that want to use the property for various things. And those are just kind of -- that kind of educational-type thing is ongoing.

We've met with everybody from the city, you know, our city manager -- you know, I know Robin and Gerald deal with it on a very, very frequent basis, you know, as do -- that's the way Fish & Wildlife Service does, as well.

So it's -- but we're getting there, you know. And the light's at the end of the tunnel. And we no longer think it's possible that it's a train.

We actually think we're at the end of the tunnel.

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: We might be done by the time the bypass opens.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Maybe we could set up a poll.

MR. GENE HOWARD: Kimberly keeps up with that.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Yeah. Yes, we could do it like you do in a football season with the little squares and stuff, we could determine -- see if we could get in a race with them.

But anyway, that's kind of where things are. You know, most of the exciting stuff, as far as the investigation stuff and all those things, are long behind us now.

As things are in these processes, you know, the actual

execution of the cleanup sometimes is a little quicker and more straightforward than the investigation phases of it.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: So, how much longer do we need to meet?

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Well, I would suggest that --

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: We've got a meeting --

MS. BRANDI LITTLE: We're done.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: We've got a speaker for next time.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Okay, we've got one more, one more meeting.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Well, my perspective, my suggestion would be that -- because I do not think I'll see it to the end of the next five or six years. I will probably bail out sometime

in the intervening years.

My suggestion would be that the RAB continue to exist throughout that period. Partly, just because it's for myself and whoever follows me, it's convenience, because if you don't have a RAB, you will endlessly be doing surveys and annually trying to determine do you need a RAB and doing all these other things. But there is a procedure the DOD has for closing out a RAB.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: You're not going to get your check until they close it out.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: That's right. I couldn't tell you what that procedure is off the top of my head. I did actually look at it some years ago, and it convinced me that the thing to

do is to keep the RABs alive.

But, in all seriousness, that would be my suggestion we do that, until we kind of know, you know, we're ready to put a bow on it.

As far as the final reports and all that kind of stuff down the road, no, you probably don't need a RAB, but then, again, that might be something you want to know.

And, you know, and y'all have been participants in this thing.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Where else have you got to go?

MR. ED TURNER: I would rather be here with you, Dr. Kimberly.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: That's right. There you go. We can get together and talk.

MR. ED TURNER: It's the only time I get to see you.

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: Yeah.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: One of the neat things, in this business, unfortunately, a lot of times you don't get to see the end point because a lot of times it does take so long with the different phases, you know, with the investigative phases and all this kind of stuff.

MR. JOHN HALL: Kind of like the bypass.

MR. SCOTT BOLTON: Yeah. I don't know that they -- Tennessee, we have contractors that are doing everything they can just to hang in, not just for the work, but because they're in the same boat, you know; they would like to see the actual end of it. You know, they never get to kind of put a bow on it, if you will, a lot of

times. And so we've got some companies that have been up there working with us for a long time.

We have the same situation here. Some of the companies that have been fortunate enough to win the contracts -- and, you know, I know that they're kind of invested -- you get invested in the project after awhile.

And so, it'd be nice to see the end of it, you know, to see it through to the end.

But, anyway, that's the status of where we are.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: Do we have any questions for Mr. Bolton?

We've already discussed new program ideas, hadn't we?

So, audience comments.
Audience comments?

MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: I have none.

MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Got nothing.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: I know it's going to hurt your feelings, but do we have a motion to adjourn?

DR. MICHAEL KIMBERLY: So moved.

MR. JEROME ELSE: Second.

MR. PHILLIP BURGETT: All in favor?

(No opposition stated.)

(Whereupon, the meeting was concluded at 6:00 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF ALABAMA)

CALHOUN COUNTY)

I, SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State of Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified, HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceeding to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding was taken at the time and place as noted and was concluded without adjournment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand and affixed
my seal at Anniston, Alabama, on
this the 4th day June 2015.

SAMANTHA E. NOBLE (ACCR 232)
Notary Public in and for
Alabama at Large

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 11-6-2017.